REMARKS

Upon entry of this Amendment B, claims 1-26 are currently pending and under consideration.

New claims 22-26 are supported by the specification. In particular, support for claims 22-26 may be found, for example, in the specific compounds exemplified in the specification. The following table indicates which particular compounds support each new claim:

Compound	Claim
5544	22
5474	22
5555	22
5999	22
6326	22
5445	23
6353	23
6226	23
5622	23
5515	24
5600	24
5616	24
5919	25
5835	26
5811	26

With respect to the "missing" page 4 of the PTO/SB/08A form submitted with the Information Disclosure Statement dated February 23, 2004, please note that page 4 never existed. The original form mistakenly listed a total of 4 sheets when only 3

Express Mail No. EV 432654606 US

sheets were intended to be submitted. Thus, the PTO/SB/08A form submitted on February 23, 2004 included the complete list of references submitted by applicant.

The Office has rejected claims 1-21 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-79 of U.S. Patent No. 6,673,833 (Holton). Applicants file herewith a terminal disclaimer disclaiming the amount of any patent term on a patent issuing from this application which extends beyond the patent term of U.S. Patent No. 6,673,833 thus obviating the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Express Mail No. EV 432654606 US

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, applicants request entry of the claim amendment and new claims and solicit an allowance of the claims. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney should any issue remain unresolved.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any under payment or credit any over payment to Deposit Account No. 19-1345.

Respectfully submitted,

Bradley S. Schammel, Reg. No. 54,667

SENNIGER, POWERS, LEAVITT & ROEDEL

One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor

St. Louis, Missouri 63102

(314) 231-5400

BSS/vlm *Enclosure